



**CYBERSECURITY**  
&  
SECURE PROGRAMMING

# Frameworks Worth Knowing



**CYBERSECURITY**  
&  
SECURE PROGRAMMING

# The day the names nearly stopped



## 15 April 2025 — the CVE funding cliff

- MITRE wrote to the CVE Board: the US government did not intend to renew the contract.
- Funding was due to expire the next day, 16 April 2025.
- Why this mattered.
  - CVE IDs are how every patch advisory, scanner and policy refers to a specific bug.
  - Without them, two engineers cannot be sure they are discussing the same vulnerability.
- How it was rescued.
  - CISA exercised an eleven-month extension on its \$57.8m contract within hours.
  - The CVE Foundation was launched the same week for long-term independence.
  - By February 2026 CISA confirmed CVE is now a priority line-item — no funding gap in March 2026.



## The three families — one map of the territory

| Family          | Audience   | Question it answers           | Headliners  |
|-----------------|------------|-------------------------------|---|
| OWASP           | Developers | What to fix in the code       | Top 10, ASVS, Cheat Sheets, SAMM                    |
| MITRE           | Defenders  | How attackers think           | CVE, CWE, CAPEC, ATT&CK, D3FEND                     |
| NIST / ISO / EU | Governance | What management system to run | CSF 2.0, ISO 27001, NIS2, DORA, CE+, PCI DSS, SOC 2 |



**CYBERSECURITY**  
&  
SECURE PROGRAMMING

# The OWASP family



## OWASP Top 10:2025 — the awareness layer

- Released as a release candidate at Global AppSec USA, 6 November 2025; finalised December 2025.
- Three changes worth flagging.
  - A02 — Security Misconfiguration jumped from #5 to #2 (cloud-native estates).
  - A03 — Software Supply Chain Failures (broadened from 'Vulnerable and Outdated Components').
  - A10 — Mishandling of Exceptional Conditions (new; 24 underlying CWEs on error handling and 'fail open').
- Broken Access Control is still A01, as it has been since 2021.
- Data-informed but not blindly data-driven — eight of ten from quantitative analysis, two from a community survey.



## Beyond the Top 10 — the rest of OWASP, in one slide

- Other Top 10 lists worth knowing by name.
  - OWASP API Security Top 10 (2023) — drops Injection entirely; three of top five are authorisation failures.
  - OWASP Mobile Top 10 (2024) — first refresh in eight years; pair with MASVS.
  - OWASP Top 10 for LLM Applications (2025) — Prompt Injection #1; we cover it in Chapter 34.
- ASVS 5.0 — ~350 testable requirements across 17 chapters; L1/L2/L3 levels. 'ASVS L2' is increasingly common contract language.
- SAMM v2 — 'is this organisation set up to produce secure applications repeatedly?' — five business functions, three maturity levels.
- Cheat Sheet Series — ~90 short opinionated 'how to do this correctly' pages; bookmark before reading further.



**CYBERSECURITY**  
&  
SECURE PROGRAMMING

## DISCUSSION

Open [https://owasp.org/Top10/A02\\_2021-Cryptographic\\_Failures/](https://owasp.org/Top10/A02_2021-Cryptographic_Failures/) and look at the top of the page. How many CWEs feed into this single OWASP category?



**CYBERSECURITY**  
&  
SECURE PROGRAMMING

# The MITRE family



## CVE vs CWE — the distinction that matters

### **CVE names the bug. CWE names the kind of bug.**

A CVE is a single, named vulnerability instance — 'Apache Log4j 2.0–2.14.1 contains CVE-2021-44228, a JNDI lookup that allows remote code execution.' A CWE is the underlying kind of flaw — CVE-2021-44228 maps to CWE-502 (Deserialisation of Untrusted Data) and CWE-20 (Improper Input Validation). One CWE can be referenced by tens of thousands of CVEs; one CVE can map to one or more CWEs.

CVE answers 'which bug?'. CWE answers 'what kind of bug?'.

— MITRE CVE Programme and Common Weakness Enumeration



## ATT&CK — what attackers actually do

- Adversarial Tactics, Techniques and Common Knowledge — a curated knowledge base of behaviour, not bugs.
- Enterprise matrix v18.1 (released 28 October 2025).
  - 14 tactics (columns), 216 techniques, 475 sub-techniques.
  - 172 named Groups, 784 Software entries, 52 Campaigns, 44 Mitigations.
  - v19 publishing today, 28 April 2026 — technique IDs in our worked example are stable.
- Big change in v18: per-technique 'Detections' replaced by structured Detection Strategies and Analytics — pull a machine-readable analytic into your SIEM.
- Used as a shared coordinate system: ATT&CK Navigator paints coverage layers; Sigma rules tag the techniques they detect.
- ATT&CK is a threat library, not a methodology. It feeds STRIDE; it does not replace it.



## FIN7 (G0046) through the ATT&CK lens

- Financially-motivated criminal group active since 2013; originally retail/hospitality POS, now ransomware affiliation.
- Initial Access.
  - T1566.001 — Spearphishing Attachment (Word/RTF, often LNK or DDE-execution).
- Execution.
  - T1059.001 — PowerShell. Also T1059.003 (cmd) and T1047 (WMI).
- Persistence.
  - T1547.001 — Registry Run Keys. Or T1053.005 (Scheduled Task), T1543.003 (Service).
- Lateral Movement.
  - T1021.001 — RDP, often combined with T1078 (Valid Accounts).
- Impact.
  - T1486 — Data Encrypted for Impact; data staged to MEGA for double-extortion.



**CYBERSECURITY**  
&  
SECURE PROGRAMMING

## DISCUSSION

Open <https://attack.mitre.org/groups/G0046/> — scroll to Techniques Used. If you were defending against FIN7, which technique would you instrument first?



**CYBERSECURITY**  
&  
SECURE PROGRAMMING

# Governance — the whistle-stop tour



## Governance frameworks at a glance

| Framework             | What it is   | Status  |
|-----------------------|--|---|
| NIST CSF 2.0          | Voluntary outcomes framework — Govern/Identify/Protect/Detect/Respond /Recover | Internal scaffolding; not certifiable                   |
| ISO/IEC 27001:2022    | Certifiable ISMS standard; Annex A has 93 controls in 4 themes                 | The certificate vendor websites cite                    |
| CIS Controls v8.1     | 18 controls / 153 Safeguards; IG1 / IG2 / IG3 tiers for SMEs                   | Prescriptive; pairs with CSF                            |
| NIS2 (EU 2022/2555)   | EU cybersecurity law; essential vs important entities                          | Ireland late; NCSB Bill expected 2026                   |
| DORA (EU 2022/2554)   | Financial-services operational resilience regulation                           | Fully applicable since 17 January 2025                  |
| Cyber Essentials v3.3 | UK self-assessment + CE+ technical audit; five control areas                   | Effective from today, 28 April 2026                     |
| PCI DSS v4.0.1        | Card-payment contractual standard  | Future-dated requirements mandatory since 31 March 2025 |
| SOC 2 (Type I / II)   | AICPA attestation report on Trust Services Criteria                            | Lingua franca of B2B SaaS assurance                     |



## Cyber Essentials v3.3 — effective today, 28 April 2026

- Cheapest credible certification in the British Isles; mandatory for many UK central-government supplier contracts since 2014.
- Two flavours: Cyber Essentials (self-assessment, verified) and Cyber Essentials Plus (adds external technical audit).
- Three v3.3 tightenings worth knowing.
  - MFA mandatory for all cloud services where supported — automatic-fail if missing.
  - 14-day patching window for high/critical updates (CVSS v3 base 7.0+) — automatic-fail if missed.
  - Cloud services can no longer be excluded from scope — formal definition added.
- Self-assessment fees: GBP 320–600 + VAT depending on size. CE+ from ~GBP 1,500 to GBP 4,000+ for complex environments.



**CYBERSECURITY**  
&  
SECURE PROGRAMMING

# An opinionated picking guide



## A small Irish SaaS — pick in this order

1. Cyber Essentials Plus first. Cheapest credible cert by an order of magnitude (~GBP 1,500–4,000); v3.3 controls are forcing-function basics.
2. SOC 2 Type II within twelve months. Lingua franca of B2B SaaS sales; Security TSC only is a defensible scope. Budget USD 20k–50k for the first audit fee.
3. ISO 27001 only when a customer asks for it by name. For under ~100 staff without a regulated customer pulling, ISO-first is over-engineered and slow.
4. NIST CSF 2.0 is internal scaffolding, not a customer artefact. Pair with CIS Controls v8.1 IG1 or IG2 as the prescriptive Safeguards.
5. NIS2 / DORA / CRA / AI Act are obligations, not choices. If they apply, you comply — beside the certification programme, not instead of it.



**CYBERSECURITY**  
&  
SECURE PROGRAMMING

# The map is not the territory.

Frameworks are coordinate axes, not menus from which you choose one.



## What's next

- Practical (W2 P): Threat modelling on OWASP Juice Shop — STRIDE on a real DFD, in the lab.
- W3 L1: Cryptography fundamentals — symmetric, asymmetric, hashing, where each goes wrong.
- Reading: Chapter 5 in the book; bookmark [owasp.org/Top10/](https://www.owasp.org/Top10/), [attack.mitre.org](https://attack.mitre.org), and the IASME Cyber Essentials page.
- Stretch task: open ATT&CK Navigator and paint a coverage layer for a sector that interests you. Hour well spent.